



UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **United States Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

_							<u> </u>
	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR				ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	09/546,14	3 04/10/0	O MATZI	NGER		P	9473
Γ	000151		ШW	HM22/0409	一	EXAMINER	
	HOFFMANN-	- ** *	TRUONG.T				
		W DEPARTMEN				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	340 KINGS	•			7411 01411	- TAILER NOMBER	
	NUTLEY NJ				1624	9	
						DATE MAILED:	ţ
		-	`,				04/09/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents' and Trademarks

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•		09/546,143	MATZINGER ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Tamthom N. Truong	1624					
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication appe or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	rrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 F</u>	ebruary 2001 .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims		•					
4) 🖾	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-27</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10, 11, and 27</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6) Claim(s) <u>12, 14, 15, 17, 19, 21, 23, and 25</u> is/are rejected.							
7) 🖾	7) Claim(s) <u>13,. 16, 18, 20, 22, and 24</u> is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claims are subject to restriction and/or	relection requirement.						
Application Papers								
9) 🗌	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		, , , , ,					
ω/,	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		on No					
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).								
Attachmen	t(s)							
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s								
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 20) Other:								

Art Unit: 1624

FINAL ACTION

Applicant's amendment filed on 2-9-01 has been fully considered, but it has not placed the instant application in condition for allowance. All previous rejections and objections are maintained herein.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The amended claims 12, 14, 15, 17, 19, 21, 23, and 25 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph because they recite R⁴ as an "amino protecting group" does not define the mete and bound of the claim any more than the original phrase "protecting group". It is understood that R⁴ protects the ring nitrogen, and thus, it is an "amino protecting group". However, said phrase does not define the feature of R⁴. Applicant submits a list as a mean to dispute the indefiniteness of the claim. Such a list, though, is inexhaustive and many of said groups do not have support in the instant disclosure. While breadth is not indefiniteness, clarity and precision are still required. Here, the phrase "amino protecting group" does not refer to a particular moiety, or functional group. See M.P.E.P. 2173.02. Also, In re Wiggins 488 F. 2d 538, 179 USPQ 421 (CCPA 1973).

Art Unit: 1624

3. Said claims are also stay rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph for reasons stated in the last office action and for the one herein. The list of amino protecting group submitted by applicants does not have support in the instant disclosure. Furthermore, the regarding enablement for chemical cases, the M.P.E.P. explicitly states that:

...in applications directed to inventions in arts where the results are unpredictable, the disclosure of a single species usually does not provide an adequate basis to support generic claims. In re Soll, 97 F. 2d 623, 624, 38 USPQ 189, 191(CCPA 1938). In cases involving unpredictable factors, such as most chemical reactions and physiological activity, more may be required. In re Fisher, 427 F.2d 833, 839, 166 USPQ 18, 24 (CCPA 1970)... See also In re Wright, 999 F.2d 1557, 1562, 27 USPQ 2d 1510, 1513 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Vaeck, 947 F.2d 488,496, 20 USPQ 2d 1438, 1445 (Fed. Cir. 1991). This is because it is not obvious from the disclosure of one species, what other species will work. {M.P.E.P. 2164.03}

Also, as has been ruled by the court in Genetech Inc. v. Novo Nordisk, failure to disclose any specific starting material or any condition for preparation constitutes lack of enablement. Thus, relying on the knowledge of one skilled in the art cannot cure such deficiency in enablement (Genetech Inc. v. Novo Nordisk, 108 F.3d 1361, 42 USPQ 2d 1001 (Fed. Cir. 1997)).

In the instant case, only one amino protecting group is named in the specification. Thus, there is no correlation if other amino protecting groups will also work under the same reaction conditions.

Art Unit: 1624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

By simply changing R⁴ to an "amino protecting group" does not overcome anticipation set forth in the previous office action.

- 4. Claim 23 remains rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Lampe et. al. for reasons stated previously.
- 5. Claims 17, 23, and 25 stay anticipated by **Krogsgaard-Larsen et. al.** and **Adams et. al.** under 35 U.S.C. 102(b).
- 6. Claim 25 stands anticipated by **Barbier et. al.** under 35 U.S.C. 102(e).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. Claims 25 and 26 stay rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Barbier et. al.** for reasons stated in the last office action. As mentioned in the 102 rejections above, by changing R⁴ to an "amino protecting group" does not overcome the teaching of Barbier et. al.

Claim Objections

8. Claims 13, 16, 18, 20, 22, and 24 remain objected.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 10, 11, and 27 are allowable for reasons stated in the previous office action.

د نره

Art Unit: 1624

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy

as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tamthom N. Truong whose telephone number is 703-305-4485.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mukund Shah can be reached on 703-308-4716. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556 for regular

communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

T. Truong

April 5, 2001

MUKUND J. SHAH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Mukund J- The

Page 5

GROUP 1400